

The Bar Council of Uttar Pradesh, Prayagraj

COMPLAINT No. 267 of 22

DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE CASE No. 287 of 2022

Smt. Geeta Sahu W/o Raj Kumar Gupta R/o S-10/245A Hukul Ganj,
Baghwanala P/s Lalpur Distt. Varanasi.

.....Complainant

Verses

Nitin Kumar Saxena Advocate S/o Umesh Chandra Saxena R/o 618/2
Head Post Office Sahab Nagar Distt. Firozabad Current Address 268A
Stanely Road Mamford Ganj Distt. Prayagraj. Reg. No. UP 09536/2020
Advocate Roll No. A/N0479/2021

.....Opp. Party

Judgement

The Complainant Smt. Geeta Sahu filed this complaint on dated 21.10.2022 with her affidavit on the ground that the complainant's son Himanshu Gupta was got married with Rini Sahu in 2016. After sometime a matrimonial dispute arises between Rini Sahu (Daughter in law) & her son and other family members. The opposite party Nitin Saxena Advocate came from the maternal site and make unnecessary interference in complainant family matter with a wrong intention. Rini Sahu started insisting on her husband Himanshu Kumar at the behest of Nitin Saxena that if you people consider me as your daughter in law, then first of all by a land in Allahabad city and get a house built. Being forced by Rini Sahu, complainant's son agreed in year 2018 to by a land in Allahabad city. Rini Sahu told the complainant's son that Nitin Saxena also does work of getting land in Allahabad city. Then my son asked Nitin Saxena to get land in Allahabad

